

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

#### NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 359/11

ALTUS GROUP 17327 106A Avenue EDMONTON, AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on November 14, 2011, respecting a complaint for:

Roll	Municipal	Legal Description	Assessed	Assessment	Assessment
Number	Address		Value	Type	Notice for:
1105873	12471 - 153 Street NW	Plan: 1738KS Block: A Lot: 14 / Plan: 1738KS Block: A Lot: 15 / Plan: 1738KS Block: A Lot: 14 / Plan: 1738KS Block: A Lot: 15	\$2,172,000	Annual New	2011

#### **Before:**

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Judy Shewchuk, Board Member Ron Funnell, Board Member

Board Officer: Segun Kaffo

#### **Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:**

John Trelford Jordan Thachuk

## Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

Chris Rumsey, Assessor, City of Edmonton

## **BACKGROUND**

The subject property is a paved parking lot consisting of 114,316 square feet zoned DC2 (CB2) situated in the Gagnon Estate area.

## **ISSUE(S)**

What is the market value of the subject property as of July 1, 2010?

## **LEGISLATION**

#### Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

# POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant argued that the subject property has limited access and shape restrictions. Further the Complainant put forward fourteen direct sales comparables ranging in time adjusted sale prices from \$8.78 to \$19.52 per square foot.

#### **POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT**

The Respondent provided three sales comparables ranging in time adjusted sale prices from \$14.49 to \$19.14 per square foot. The Respondent argued that similar zoning should be considered in making comparisons to the subject.

## **DECISION**

The Board's decision is to reduce the 2010 assessment from \$2,172,000 to \$1,830,000.

#### **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

Both parties agreed with the use of the Respondent's time adjustment chart.

The Board determined that the Complainant's comparables with similar zoning and locations to the subject ranged in time adjusted sales values from \$12.82 to \$19.52 per square foot with an average of \$14.73. The Respondent's sales comparables ranged in time adjusted sale price from \$14.49 to \$19.14 with an average of \$16.93. The Board was of the view that the subject falls within these ranges at \$16.00 per square foot.

# **DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS**

Thora	Moro	no	diggar	stina	oniniona
THEIE	were	по	aissei	umg	opinions.

Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of November, 2011, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Tom Dehout Dussiding Officer

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: SUNALTA BINGO LTD